



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,970	09/17/2003	Robert Bruce Nicholson	909B.0026.U1(US)	8604
29683	7590	09/11/2006	EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/666,970	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NICHOLSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher S. McCarthy	<b>Art Unit</b> 2113	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO<sup>4</sup> personnel):

- (1) Christopher S. McCarthy. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Walter Malinowski Reg. # 43,423. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 28 August 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nolet 6,138,249; Ballard 2003/0088538.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed with the examiner two points: 1) Nolet does not teach a fault tolerant component; 2) Nolet nor Ballard teach a World Wide Web. The examiner concedes point 2 that neither reference explicitly cites a World Wide Web, but this amendment could provoke a USC 103 rejection. Point one was not conceded by the examiner in that the examiner cites column 13, lines 22-24 of Nolet wherein his system could be done for fault tolerant reasons; therefore, any components in the system are deemed fault tolerant components. The representative further explained the differences between the fault tolerant monitoring of the present invention versus the monitoring of Nolet. The examiner suggested that any distinct language be in the claim language, or at least made clear on the record. The representative informed the examiner that an amendment would be forthcoming. .